



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER 1 PRUMS DATE / 0 1 EL NAGAR FIRST NAMED APPLICANT : CLATTY DOCKET NO.

HM12/0620

HM12/0620

Fhilip M Pippenger
Patent and Trademark Division
Albemarle Corporation
451 Florida Street
Baton Rouge LA 70801-1765

DATE MAILED: 96/20/01

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

	COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
	OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY
X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on
	This action is FINAL.
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	
Dis	position of Claims
Ø	Claim(s)is/are pending in the application.
<u> </u>	Of the above, claim(s)is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	Claim(s)is/are allowed.  Claim(s)is/are rejected.
鬥	Claim(s)is/are objected to.
	Claim(s)are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
	See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected to by the Examiner.  The proposed drawing correction, filed onis
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
	All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
	received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
•	*Certified copies not received:
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
	Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892
<b>X</b>	Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2,34
$\overline{\Box}$	Interview Summary, PTO-413
	Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
	SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/484,844

Art Unit: 1626

ا میں ۔ د میں ا

Receipt is acknowledged of the information disclosure statements filed April 13, 2000, August 21, 2000, and February 1, 2001, which have been entered in the file.

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 to 131 are rejected under 35 U.S.A. 112, second paragraph, for failing to properly define the invention. The terms and expression, "and/or", "oxidizing", and is oxidized" render the claims indefinite by placing no definite limits or boundaries on the claims.

Claims 1 to 131 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rogers I or II or Paterson I or III or Wolf et al or Waugh et al III or Cole or Girard et al I or II or Pazig or Lee et al or Bhatlachare (WO 97-43264), or Jolles, all cited by applicants.

The references disclose the N-halogenation of compounds having at least one N-halogenatable amide or imide nitrogen by reacting such a compound with a halogenating agent in

Application/Control Number: 09/484,844

Art Unit: 1626

the presence of an inorganic base and water co please note for Example page 1, the right hand column, lines 6 to 60 page 2, lines 1 to 15, and Examples 1 to 4, and 8 of Rogers I, the paragraph bridging the left and right hand column on page 2 of Rogers II; Examples 1 to 4 of Paterson et al I; Examples I to II of Wolf et al; Examples 1 to 16 and column 6, line 48 to column 7, line 4 of Wough et al; Examples 1 to 12 of Paterson et al III; Examples three, six, seven, and eight of Girard et al I; Examples I to IV of Cole; Examples one, two, three, six, seven, and eight of Girard et al II; Example 1 of Puzig; column 4, line 66 to column 6, line 5; column 7, line 12 to column 8, line 51, and Examples I to IV of Lee et al; page 8, line 22 to page 10, line 19 and Example 7 of bhattacharya; and page 305 of Jolles. It would be oboious to N-halogenate compounds having a haligenatable omide or imido ructrogen by the method of the references and determining the optimum conditions for reaction absent any showing of any hobbous or unexpected results since only the expected products are obtained.

Higel/dt

June 19, 2001

FLOYD D. HIGEL
PATENT PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT-123-/6 26

: Hilling is a